



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

APR 1 6 2004

Reply To
Attn Of: WCM-126

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. William Johnson Earle M. Jorgensen Company 10650 South Alameda Lynwood, CA 92821

Re: Evaluation of Responses to EPA Comments on the Draft Environmental Sampling

Work Plan

Seattle, Washington

EPA ID No. WAD 00060 2813 CERCLA Docket No. 10-2003-0111

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 (EPA) received the <u>Response to EPA Comments on the Draft Environmental Sampling Work Plan</u> (the Work Plan) prepared by Farallon Consulting on behalf of the Earle M. Jorgensen Company (Jorgensen) and dated February 23, 2004. The Work Plan has been prepared to fulfill the requirements of the 2003 Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) and the results of the February 5, 2004 meeting between Jorgensen's representatives and EPA. The initial EPA comments were provided to Jorgensen in a letter dated January 22, 2004.

EPA must note that the first paragraphs of the AOC and the Statement of Work (SOW) which is attached to the AOC directs Jorgensen to determine whether sediments in the Duwamish Waterway have been impacted by current or historical operations at the Jorgensen Forge site. Previous investigations at the Jorgensen Forge site have documented hazardous substances such as total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in soil and groundwater, which could act as potential sources to sediment. Therefore, EPA is concerned that contamination at the site has not been fully characterized and is reserving all rights under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) to require Jorgensen to perform additional work to delineate all potential site-related sources of contamination to the Duwamish Waterway.

The following is EPA's evaluation of your responses to our initial comments.

1. Page 1, Second Paragraph, Last Sentence & Response to EPA Comment 10

EPA reiterates its position that there is insufficient information with respect to whether the outfalls are a migration pathway. To address this data gap, EPA may require Jorgensen to conduct outfall sampling in the future.



2. Page 1, Third Paragraph

Jorgensen states here that they will only sample the nearshore zone if the bank appears to be contaminated. Please be advised that EPA will be sampling the nearshore zone and depending on the results of EPA's sampling efforts and Boeing's Area I sampling, EPA may require Jorgensen to perform more inclusive investigatory activities which may include delineation of the complete nature and extent of all Jorgensen's potential sources.

3. Response to EPA Comment 1

The response to EPA Comment 1 is inadequate. It is unclear whether the response states that both conditions must exist or whether sediment sampling will be conducted if either condition exists. EPA requires Jorgensen to collect sediment samples if either condition existed. The response must be revised accordingly.

Further, the response states that, "<u>An evaluation of the analytical results of soil samples from the bank face, fill material, and soil within close proximity to the buried storm drain lines would be adequate to determine if elevated concentrations of PCBs are present in these media and thus if sediment sampling is warranted." However, per agreements reached in the February 5, 2004 meeting, actual storm drain sampling will also be performed.</u>

4. Response to EPA Comment 2

The response to EPA Comment 2 states that a lack of sediment data is not a data gap unless a migration pathway is identified. Migration pathways have been identified (e.g., erosion, outfalls), although releases have not been established from the site. The Addendum Work Plan must clearly state that the need for sediment data is not predicated on establishing a release from the site.

Likewise, Jorgensen's responses to EPA Comments 6, 8, and 10 are insufficient because pathways have already been identified. The Addendum Work Plan must fully discuss that the need for sediment data is not predicated on establishing a release from the site and that the migration pathways have been identified.

5. Response to EPA Comment 2, last sentence.

This response does not reflect discussion and agreement reached in the February 5, 2004 meeting. Sampling the storm drain system is required to the extent possible. The response to comment 16 does not reflect this agreement either.

6. Responses to EPA Comments 18 & 20

Jorgensen incorrectly interpreted EPA July 28, 2003 decision letter. The reference to this letter must be deleted. EPA July 28, 2003 decision letter did not state that EPA "concluded" that any PCB-contaminated sediment found adjacent to Jorgensen is a result of migration from other facilities. EPA's decision letter was issued solely for the purpose of requiring Boeing to collect additional data adjacent to their proposed Duwamish Sediment Other Area (DSOA) boundary to determine the extent of contamination.

7. Response to EPA Comment 36

This response is inadequate. Qualifying data with flags is no substitute for lower reporting limits. A Practical Quatitation Limit (PQL) of 10-20 ug/kg, (rather than 100 ug/kg) is typically achievable for sediment testing. Jorgensen must provide explanation for using a different PQL.

Jorgensen must prepare the Addendum to the Work Plan that is responsive to all EPA comments. As previously agreed, the Addendum will be submitted to EPA within 20 business days of receipt of this correspondence.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at 206/553-5122.

Sincerely,

Anna I. Filutowski Project Manager

cc: Brad Helland, Ecology – NWRO
Marla Steinhoff, NOAA
Laurie Geissinger, Seattle City Light
Amy Essig-Desai, Farallon Consulting

Amy Essig-Desai, Farallon Consulting William Ernst, The Boeing Company

CONCURRENCES					ES	POLICY FILE	
Initials	AR	Q	E ST			Yes 🗆	No X
Name:	Anna Filutowski OWCM- Permit Team	Carla Fisher OWCM-Permit Team	Howard Orlean, OWCM-Permit Team,			If policy file please bcc to RMSPU Manager	
Date:	4/12/04	4/12/04	4/12/	09			
RCRAInfo EVENT SNC IDENTIFICATION Yes No X (Can it be entered in RCRAInfo?) Yes No X							
SBREFA INFO VERIFICATION Yes No X							
PEER REVIEW Yes X No							

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid USPS Permit No. G-10

Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box



SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY					
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. William Johnson Earle M. Jorgensen, Co. 	A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) C. Signature X Agent Addressee D. Is delivery address different from item 1? If YES, enter delivery address below: No					
10650 South Alameda Lynwood, CA 92821	3. Service Type Certified Mail Registered Insured Mail C.O.D. C.O.D. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes					
2. Article Number (Copy from service 7001 2510	0000 5790 3105					
PS Form 3811, July 1999 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-00-M-0952						

At the Party of Column 2 is not a second

Certified Mail Provides:

- A mailing receipt
- A unique identifier for your mailpiece
- A signature upon delivery
- A record of delivery kept by the Postal Service for two years

Important Reminders:

- Certified Mail may ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail or Priority Mail.
- Certified Mail is not available for any class of international mail.
- NO INSURANCE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail. For valuables, please consider Insured or Registered Mail.
- For an additional fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of delivery. To obtain Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt (PS Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the fee. Endorse mailpiece "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for a duplicate return receipt, a USPS postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.
- For an additional fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or addressee's authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the endorsement "Restricted Delivery".
- If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the article at the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is not needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

IMPORTANT: Save this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.

.s. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT

(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

OFFICIAL USE

Postage

Certified Fee

Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)

Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)

Postmark Here

Total Postage Mr. William Johnson

\$

Sent To

3105

79

Ы

0000

27

nı

7007

Earle M. Jorgensen, Co.

Street, Apt. No. 10650 South Alameda or PO Box No. 10650 South Alameda City, State, 2112 Lynwood, CA 92821

PS Form 3800, January 2001

See Beveree for

nstructio